





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/068,871 | 02/11/2002 | Lawson A. Wood | AW-19 | 2629 | |
| 75 | 7590 08/11/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Lawson A. Wood 873 N. Frederick Street | | | WU, XIA | WU, XIAO MIN | |
| Arlington, VA 22205 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ,g, | | | 2674 | 8 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|---|
| | | 10/068,871 | WOOD, LAWSON A. |
| • | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | XIAO M. WU | 2674 |
| Ti Period for R | he MAILING DATE of this communication ap | ppears on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence address |
| A SHORTHE MAI - Extensions after SIX (- If the perioder of t | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the form of the provision of the communication of the commu | .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi tte, cause the application to become ABANDO | e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 2a)⊠ Thi 3)⊡ Sin | sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24</u> , s action is FINAL . 2b) The ce this application is in condition for allow sed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, | • |
| Disposition (| of Claims | | |
| 4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla | im(s) 1-8 and 11-17 is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) 1-8 and 11-17 is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | |
| Application | Papers | | |
| 10)∭ The App Rep | specification is objected to by the Examin drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) action and a specificant may not request that any objection to the lacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponds or declaration is objected to by the Examination. | ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority unde | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| a)∭ A 1.[2.[3.[| Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application or the december of the contract of the contrac | cation No eived in this National Stage |
| 2) 🔲 Notice of D | References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail | l Date |
| | n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | al Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gibbons et al. (US Patent No. 5,122,791).

As to claims 1, 4, Gibbons discloses a method for displaying an image described by video words of a frame, the video words having bits with different bit ranks (col. 4, lines 18), the method comprising the steps of: (a) for each bit rank, turning pixels of a spatial light modulator on or off in accordance with values of the video words for the respective bit rank (Fig. 2); (b) steadily exposing the spatial light modulator to light for a light source during substantially the entire time that step (a) is conducted (8Ig, 4Ig, 2Ig, Fig. 2); and (c) driving the light source at a first energy level for one of the bit ranks and at a substantially greater second energy level for another of the bit ranks (e.g. 8Ig is greater than 4Ig as shown in Fig. 2) Gibbons further discloses substantially steadily exposing the spatial light modulator to light that varies substantially in intensity as required in claim 4 (see Fig. 2).

As to claims 2, 6, Gibbons discloses that the spatial modulator is any LCD panel (col. 1, line 16.

As to claim 5, Gibbons discloses that the light has intensity at one moment that is at least about twice intensity at another moment (e.g. 8Ig is twice intensity of 4Ig, see Fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/068,871 Page 3

Art Unit: 2674

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 7, 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibbons et al. (US Patent No. 5,122,791) in view of Heimbuch et al. (US Patent No. 5,448,314).

As to claim 3, 7, and 8, it is noted that Gibbons does not specifically disclose the spatial light modulator is a digital micromirror device. However, it is well known in the art that the DMD is one kind of the spatial light modulator and it is similar to liquid crystal display since they are both need light source. For example, Heimbuch is cited to teach a color DMD display device with a backlight light source (see Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have substituted the DMD as taught by Heimbuch for the LCD of the Gibbons because they are alternative for each other. Furthermore, Gibbons as modified discloses discontinuously exposing the digital micromirror device to brief-duration flashes of light, the flashes having intensities that depend on the respective bit rank (e.g. in the most significant bit, the flash has intensity of 8Ig and in a next most significant bit, the flash has intensity of 4Ig, see Fig. 2).

As to claim 11, Gibbons as modified discloses the flashes are emitted from a red, green and blue light sources (6, 7, 8, Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2674

5. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibbons et al. (US Patent No. 5,122,791) in view of Heimbuch et al. (US Patent No. 5,448,314) and Florence (US Patent No. 5,640,214).

As to claim 12-15, note the discussion of Gibbons and Heimbuch above. It is noted that both Gibbons and Heimbuch do not disclose to flashes impinging on the digital micromirror device from a first direction and a second direction. Florence is cited to teach a DMD device as shown in Fig. 12, Florence discloses to flashes impinging on the digital micromirror device from a first direction and a second direction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Gibbons and Heimbuch with the impinging light in different direction as taught by Florence because Florence's device prevents the mirror element from being naturally biased toward on position over the other (col. 1, lines 65-67).

As to claims 16 and 17, Florence discloses that the acute angle is about 70° from the vertical direction which is substantially less than 90°.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 11-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In applicant response, applicant points out that claims 1, 4, 8 and 13 are supported by the earlier applicant's own patent 6,348,907 which has effective filing date 1/31/1995. therefore, a new office action based on the new prior arts has been made.

Art Unit: 2674

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xiao Wu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4721.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377

xw

August 8, 2004

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2674

Page 6